

RESEARCH FOR
**ADVANCED
PRACTICE
NURSES**

From Evidence to Practice



Fourth Edition

EDITORS

BETH A. STAFFILENO

MARCIA PENCAK MURPHY

SUSAN WEBER BUCHHOLZ

RESEARCH FOR ADVANCED PRACTICE NURSES

Beth A. Staffileno, PhD, FAHA, is a professor in the department of Adult Health and Gerontological Nursing and co-director of the Center for Clinical Research and Scholarship at Rush University Medical Center College of Nursing. Dr. Staffileno has consulted with organizations seeking to develop research and evidence-based practice (EBP) infrastructures, has mentored practicing nurses and advanced practice registered nurses (APRNs) with implementing research and EBP initiatives, and has facilitated scholarly dissemination. She has developed an online continuing education course available to staff nurses, EBP and Research for Direct Care Providers. She has also taught Research for Evidence-Based Practice to DNP students and Leadership in the Evolving Healthcare Environment to DNP and PhD students. Dr. Staffileno has published several papers related to implementing EBP initiatives, and is an active researcher with a health promotion/disease prevention focus. Much of Dr. Staffileno's research has involved lifestyle change interventions for cardiovascular risk reduction in women and vulnerable populations. Currently, she is working with an interprofessional team using augmented intelligence technology to tailor health information efficiently and effectively for high-risk groups in order to promote healthy lifestyle behaviors. Dr. Staffileno is a Fellow of the American Heart Association and Institute of Medicine of Chicago.

Marcia Pencak Murphy, DNP, ANP, FAHA, FPCNA, is a professor emeritus at Rush University College of Nursing. She served as program director of the Adult-Gerontology Primary Care Nurse Practitioner program for 15 years. She has extensive experience supervising master's capstone projects and doctor of nursing practice (DNP) projects in the area of adult-gerontology. Dr. Murphy has over 30 years of experience as an advanced practice registered nurse (APRN) in both acute care and community settings. She has published and presented several papers on the topic of clinical scholarship for APRNs. Dr. Murphy has received several distinguished awards including Fellow of the American Heart Association, Fellow of the Preventive Cardiovascular Nurses Association, and Fellow of the Institute of Medicine of Chicago, and is active in several organizations, including the Preventive Cardiovascular Nurses Association, the American Heart Association, and the Institute of Medicine of Chicago.

Susan Weber Buchholz, PhD, RN, FAANP, FAAN, is a professor, Associate Dean for Research, and Director of the PhD Program at Michigan State University College of Nursing. Dr. Buchholz is funded by the National Institutes of Health's (NIH's) National Institute of Nursing Research. The long-term goal of her research program is to develop cost-effective strategies to increase physical activity among low physically active adults. Within her research, she uses innovative mHealth strategies to promote physical activity. Globally, she is one of the first researchers to use a Sequential Multiple Assignment Randomized Trial design to explore how to optimize adaptive interventions to improve physical activity. She has conducted and published on her quantitative and qualitative research, including integrative and systematic reviews. As an adult nurse practitioner faculty member, she is committed to high-quality nurse practitioner education and is currently serving as President-Elect on the National Organization of Nurse Practitioner Faculties Board. She is a Fellow in the American Academy of Nursing. She is also a Fellow in the American Association of Nurse Practitioners and served as the Chair of the inaugural American Association of Nurse Practitioners Nursing Research Committee.

RESEARCH FOR ADVANCED PRACTICE NURSES

FROM EVIDENCE TO PRACTICE

FOURTH EDITION

Beth A. Staffileno, PhD, FAHA

Marcia Pencak Murphy, DNP, ANP, FAHA, FPCNA

Susan Weber Buchholz, PhD, RN, FAANP, FAAN

Editors



SPRINGER PUBLISHING

Copyright © 2022 Springer Publishing Company, LLC

All rights reserved.

First Springer Publishing edition 2009; subsequent editions 2013, 2017.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the prior permission of Springer Publishing Company, LLC, or authorization through payment of the appropriate fees to the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, 978-750-8400, fax 978-646-8600, info@copyright.com or on the Web at www.copyright.com.

Springer Publishing Company, LLC
11 West 42nd Street, New York, NY 10036
www.springerpub.com
connect.springerpub.com/

Acquisitions Editor: Joseph Morita
Compositor: Exeter Premedia Services Private Ltd.

ISBN: 978-0-8261-5132-2

ebook ISBN: 978-0-8261-5133-9

DOI: 10.1891/9780826151339

Qualified instructors may request supplements by emailing textbook@springerpub.com

Instructor's PowerPoints ISBN: 978-0-8261-5134-6

21 22 23 24 25 / 5 4 3 2 1

The author and the publisher of this Work have made every effort to use sources believed to be reliable to provide information that is accurate and compatible with the standards generally accepted at the time of publication. Because medical science is continually advancing, our knowledge base continues to expand. Therefore, as new information becomes available, changes in procedures become necessary. We recommend that the reader always consult current research and specific institutional policies before performing any clinical procedure or delivering any medication. The author and publisher shall not be liable for any special, consequential, or exemplary damages resulting, in whole or in part, from the readers' use of, or reliance on, the information contained in this book. The publisher has no responsibility for the persistence or accuracy of URLs for external or third-party Internet websites referred to in this publication and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

Library of Congress Control Number: 2020952107

Contact us to receive discount rates on bulk purchases.
We can also customize our books to meet your needs.
For more information please contact: sales@springerpub.com

***Publisher's Note:* New and used products purchased from third-party sellers are not guaranteed for quality, authenticity, or access to any included digital components.**

Beth A. Staffileno: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3712-2207>

Susan Weber Buchholz: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6311-9709>

Printed in the United States of America.

CONTENTS

Contributors *vii*

Preface *xi*

I. Evidence-Based Practice

1. Overview of Evidence-Based Practice 3
Mary D. Bondmass
2. Searching for Evidence 15
Mary E. Hitchcock and Leslie A. Christensen
3. Research and the Mandate for Evidence-Based Practice, Quality, and Patient Safety 35
Kathleen R. Stevens
4. Continuous Quality Improvement 71
Mary C. Zonsius and Kerry A. Milner
5. Establishing and Sustaining an Evidence-Based Practice Environment 91
Elizabeth A. Carlson, Beth A. Staffileno, and Marcia Pencak Murphy

II. Building Blocks for Evidence

6. Critical Appraisal of Evidence 107
Karen M. Vuckovic and Katherine A. Maki
7. Identifying a Focus of Practice Inquiry 119
Lea Ann Matura and Vivian Nowazek
8. Conceptual and Theoretical Frameworks 131
Mary E. Johnson
9. Quantitative Designs for Practice Scholarship 143
Susan Weber Buchholz
10. Qualitative Approaches for Practice Scholarship 173
Beth Rodgers
11. Sampling Methods 197
Mary D. Bondmass
12. Designing Questionnaires and Data Collection Forms 211
Rosemarie Suhayda and Uchita A. Dave
13. Physiological and Psychological Data Collection Methods 227
Susan K. Frazier and Carol Glod

III. Using Available Evidence

- 14. Literature Reviews 257
Kathleen R. Stevens
- 15. Program Evaluation 275
Karen J. Saewert
- 16. Implementing Evidence-Based Practice 301
Lisa J. Hopp

IV. Evaluating the Impact of Evidence-Based Practice, Ethical Aspects of a Study, and Communicating Results

- 17. Cost as a Dimension of Evidence-Based Practice 329
Briana J. Jegier and Tricia J. Johnson
- 18. Evaluation of Outcomes 347
Anne W. Alexandrov
- 19. Ethical Aspects of Practice Scholarship 365
Marcia Phillips and Mary Heitschmidt
- 20. Communicating Practice Scholarship Through Oral Presentation 383
Lisa A. Rauch
- 21. Reporting Results Through Publications 399
Tracy Klein and Patricia F. Pearce
- 22. Exemplars of APRN-Led Initiatives 423
Beth A. Staffileno, Marcia Pencak Murphy, Lindsey Gradone, Izabela Kazana, Claire Cunningham, and Jessica Mauleon

Index 439

CONTRIBUTORS

Anne W. Alexandrov, PhD, AGACNP-BC, ANVP-BC, NVRN-BC, CCRN, FAAN, Professor of Nursing and Neurology, University of Tennessee Health Science Center (UTHSC), Memphis, Tennessee

Mary D. Bondmass, PhD, RN, CNE, Associate Professor in Residence, School of Nursing, University of Nevada, Las Vegas, Nevada

Susan Weber Buchholz, PhD, RN, FAANP, FAAN, Professor, Associate Dean for Research, Director of the PhD Program, Michigan State University, College of Nursing, East Lansing, Michigan

Elizabeth A. Carlson, RN, PhD, Professor Emerita, Adult Health and Gerontological Nursing, Rush University, College of Nursing, Chicago, Illinois

Leslie A. Christensen, MLIS, Health Sciences Librarian, Ebling Library, University of Wisconsin School of Medicine and Public Health, Madison, Wisconsin

Claire Cunningham, DNP, NP-C, Neurology Advanced Practice Nurse, Loyola University Medical Center, Maywood, Illinois

Uchita A. Dave, MS, Manager, Institutional Research, Rush University, Chicago, Illinois

Susan K. Frazier, PhD, RN, FAHA, Associate Professor, Co-Director, RICH Heart Program, College of Nursing, University of Kentucky, Lexington, Kentucky

Carol Glod, RN, PhD, FAAN, Professor and Chair, Department of Public Health and Nutrition School of Health Sciences, Merrimack College, North Andover, Massachusetts

Lindsey Gradone, DNP, APRN-CNP, Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care, Northwestern Memorial Hospital, Chicago, Illinois

Mary Heitschmidt, RN, PhD, APRN, CCRN-K, Assistant Professor, Women, Children and Family Nursing, Rush College of Nursing; Co-Director, Center for Clinical Research and Scholarship, Rush College of Nursing; Director of Clinical Research, Rush University Medical Center and Rush Oak Park Hospital, Chicago, Illinois

Mary E. Hitchcock, MA, MLIS, Health Sciences Librarian, Ebling Library, University of Wisconsin School of Medicine and Public Health, Madison, Wisconsin

Lisa J. Hopp, PhD, RN, FAAN, Dean and Professor, College of Nursing, Purdue University Northwest, Hammond, Indiana

Briana J. Jegier, PhD, Associate Professor and Chair, Health Administration, Baptist Health Sciences University, Memphis, Tennessee; Adjunct Assistant Professor, College of Health Sciences, Rush University, Chicago, Illinois

Mary E. Johnson, PhD, RN, PMHCNS (retired), CNE, FAAN, Professor Emerita, Community Systems and Mental Health Nursing, Rush University College of Nursing, Chicago, Illinois

Tricia J. Johnson, PhD, Professor, Department of Health Systems Management, Rush University, Chicago, Illinois

Izabela Kazana, DNP, APRN, AGPCNP-BC, CPHQ, CHCQM, Director of Quality, University of Illinois Health Oncology Service Line, University of Illinois Cancer Center, Chicago, Illinois

Tracy Klein, PhD, FNP, ARNP, FAANP, FRE, FAAN, Associate Professor, College of Nursing, Washington State University Vancouver, Vancouver, Washington

Katherine A. Maki, PhD, APRN, AGPCNP-BC, Laboratory for Sleep Neurobiology, College of Nursing, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, Illinois

Lea Ann Matura, PhD, RN, FAAN, Associate Professor, University of Pennsylvania, School of Nursing, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Jessica Mauleon, DNP, AGPCNP-C, Internal Medicine Nurse Practitioner, Senior Care Physicians of Illinois, Lincolnshire, Illinois

Kerry A. Milner, DNSc, RN, EBP-C, Associate Professor, Sacred Heart University, Davis & Henley College of Nursing, Fairfield, Connecticut

Marcia Pencak Murphy, DNP, ANP, FAHA, FPCNA, Professor Emerita, Adult Health and Gerontological Nursing, Rush University, College of Nursing, Chicago, Illinois

Patricia F. Pearce, PhD, FNP-BC, FAANP, FNAP, Professor and Interim Chair, Department of Nursing, Clarke University, Dubuque, Iowa

Vivian Nowazek, PhD, MSN, APRN, FNP-BC, CNS-CC, Clinical Associate Professor, School of Nursing, College of Health Sciences, Sam Houston State University, The Woodlands, Texas

Marcia Phillips, PhD, RN, Assistant Professor, Adult Health and Gerontological Nursing College of Nursing, Assistant Professor, Department of Internal Medicine, Rush Medical College, Chicago, Illinois

Lisa A. Rauch, DNP, APHA-BC, RN, Assistant Director and Curriculum Coordinator, The Valley Foundation School of Nursing at San Jose State University, San Jose, California

Beth Rodgers, PhD, RN, FAAN, Professor Emerita, University of Wisconsin–Milwaukee, College of Nursing, Milwaukee, Wisconsin

Karen J. Saewert, PhD, RN, CPHQ, ANEF, Clinical Professor, Edson College of Nursing and Health Innovation, Arizona State University, Phoenix, Arizona

Beth A. Staffileno, PhD, FAHA, Professor, Adult Health and Gerontological Nursing, Co-Director, Center for Clinical Research and Scholarship, Rush University, College of Nursing, Chicago, Illinois

Kathleen R. Stevens, RN, EdD, ANEF, FAAN, Castella Endowed Distinguished Professor, University of Texas Health Science Center San Antonio, San Antonio, Texas

Rosemarie Suhayda, PhD, RN, Assistant Dean Emerita, Rush University, Chicago, Illinois

Karen M. Vuckovic, PhD, APRN ACNS-BC, FAHA, Clinical Associate Professor, College of Nursing, University of Illinois, Chicago, Illinois

Mary C. Zonsius, PhD, RN, Associate Professor, Adult Health and Gerontological Nursing Department, Assistant Dean—Evaluation, Rush University, College of Nursing, Chicago, Illinois

PREFACE

The increasing focus on evidence needed for practice decisions propels us to re-envision how we teach graduate students about research and evidence-based practice (EBP). This book serves as a resource for graduate students and practicing advanced practice registered nurses (APRNs) who contribute to the scholarly output in the discipline, particularly in the area of clinical practice. Similar to the previous editions, this book is unique because it is designed specifically for APRNs. Increasing numbers of APRNs are prepared with a doctorate in nursing practice (DNP) degree. DNP graduates are expert clinicians who have the knowledge and skills to address problems and improve outcomes in real-world health settings. APRNs prepared with a PhD degree are also engaged in practice scholarship. Collaborative teams, comprising APRNs prepared with master's and doctoral degrees, can accelerate the translation of evidence into practice to improve health outcomes. Therefore, this book teaches APRNs prepared at the master's and doctoral levels how to (a) find relevant and current evidence, (b) appraise the evidence, (c) translate evidence into practice to improve patient care and outcomes, and (d) disseminate findings. This book expands on the previous edition by:

- Providing a chapter on quality improvement (QI) models, processes, and tools
- Expanding Chapter 14 (systematic reviews) to include integrative and literature reviews
- Adding a chapter with exemplars of APRN-led initiatives that showcase improved processes and health outcomes

Part I: Evidence-Based Practice. The chapters in Part I focus on an overview of EBP: the definitions of EBP that have evolved over time, types of evidence, and models of EBP. Strategies for finding evidence are presented to guide the reader to respond to the mandate for EBP. Additionally, a brief history of QI is presented along with various models, processes, and tools. This information on EBP and QI is vital to graduate students who are developing skills that will prepare them to assume their advanced practice role in health care.

Part II: Building Blocks for Evidence. The section starts with appraising a single research article, a building block for evidence. Components of the research process are presented from a reviewer's perspective of using the article as supporting evidence for practice in subsequent chapters. One of the documented barriers to EBP is that practitioners feel inadequate reading and interpreting research findings. Gaining knowledge about the research process is crucial for practitioners who must read, interpret, and determine the relevance of research findings (evidence) to practice.

Part III: Using Available Evidence. Meta-analyses, systematic reviews, integrative reviews, and practice guidelines from various sources, such as professional organizations and government websites, are other types of evidence that may be used in establishing EBP. Appraising information

from these sources is suggested in this section. Program evaluation provides an opportunity for use of evidence. Considerations when planning and implementing EBP activities are also included in this section—that is, identifying the focus of EBP activities (unit or organizational) and developing an EBP protocol.

Part IV: Evaluating the Impact of Evidence-Based Practice, Ethical Aspects of a Study, and Communicating Results. Cost, outcomes, and ethical aspects are essential components of EBP and QI. Communicating ideas through oral and written avenues is valuable in making EBP and QI a reality. Techniques for acquiring oral and written methods for presenting ideas are included; such techniques are helpful in writing protocols and reporting outcomes of EBP and QI activities. This section concludes with exemplars of APRN-led initiatives that highlight improved healthcare processes, outcomes, and resultant dissemination.

Although graduate students are the primary audience for this book—a textbook for a graduate course in nursing research or an interdisciplinary health care course—nurses in clinical settings will also find the book helpful in fulfilling their research role toward achieving hospital Magnet® status. Our hope is that the information presented in this book will be used to provide optimal cost-efficient care to patients, which will increase their quality of life.

We acknowledge the work of Marquis D. Foreman, PhD, RN, FAAN, for his contributions to previous editions of this book.

*Beth A. Staffileno
Marcia Pencak Murphy
Susan Weber Buchholz*



EVIDENCE-BASED PRACTICE

OVERVIEW OF EVIDENCE-BASED PRACTICE

MARY D. BONDMASS

■ INTRODUCTION

This chapter begins with an overview of evidence-based practice (EBP) including generally accepted definitions, central tenets, barriers and facilitators, and trends over time. Additionally, an overview of the necessary underlying components of EBP are explored, these being the actual providers of EBP and the competencies required of EBP providers, specifically advanced practice registered nurses (APRNs).

■ EBP DEFINITIONS

Multiple definitions of EBP have been proposed and have evolved over the years. One of the most common definitions of EBP in use today was derived from an initial proposal for evidence-based medicine by Sackett et al. (2000). Over time the Sackett et al.'s definition evolved, such that many contemporary texts and publications agree on the definition of EBP to be “the integration of best research evidence with clinical expertise and patient values and circumstances” (Straus et al., 2005, p. 1). While many other excellent definitions are used in the literature, most would agree that Straus et al.'s definition is inclusive enough for universal use.

Regardless of the exact definition, or which discipline supports which nomenclature, there exists today much discussion and debate about implementation, barriers, facilitators, evaluation, and perhaps more importantly, the health outcomes for patients in an EBP environment. Positive health outcomes should logically result from knowledge generated from research and be reflective of an effective EBP environment; however, positive health outcomes in the United States lag far behind what we know about safe and effective healthcare, especially considering the high cost of U.S. healthcare. The knowledge-to-practice gap is even more apparent when health status outcomes are compared with those of other countries. Current data from the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD, 2019), indicate that the worldwide spending on health is about \$4,000 per person (adjusted for purchasing power) on average across OECD countries (Figure 1.1), but the United States spends more than all other countries by a considerable margin, at over \$10,000 per resident (Institute of Medicine [IOM], 2015b; OECD, 2019). Indeed the United States spends more than twice as much on healthcare than other developed countries, yet according to multiple indicators of health status, the United States is ranked considerably lower (OECD, 2019). Unsurprisingly, studies continue to indicate that the U.S. health system is inefficient. In 2013, the IOM estimated that upward of \$750 billion of healthcare spending could be attributed to excess costs. Moreover, despite an increased knowledge base and a




FIGURE 1.1 United States per capita healthcare spending is more than twice the average of other developed countries.

Source: Data from Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, OECD Health Statistics, 2019, November 2019.

Notes: Data are from 2018. Chart uses purchasing power parities to convert data into U.S. dollars.

considerable monetary investment, there is no corresponding improvement in U.S. health outcomes; in fact, the United States falls measurably behind our international peers across essential measures of access, equity, and efficiency (IOM, 2015b).

Extending back two decades, this concerning trend in the knowledge-to-practice gap has been acknowledged and written about (IOM, 2000, 2001, 2003, 2010, 2015a; OECD, 2019). While strategic direction from policymakers needs to continually address quality and safety issues at the system or macro level, nurses (academic educators, advanced practitioners, and hospital administrators) have a role in ensuring competent practice, to the end of improving various health-related outcomes. While some may be discouraged by the slow pace of EBP implementation, progress has been made regarding the competence and the competencies expected of the nursing healthcare workforce. Insistence on EBP competence and competencies are strategies that nurses may consider within our collective scope of practice.

Providers from many health-related professions believe the implementation of EBP promotes safety, quality of care, and consistency, and improves patient outcomes while decreasing healthcare costs (Aste et al., 2020; Copeland et al., 2020; Jin & Yi, 2019; Lewis et al., 2019; Melnyk, 2016; Taxman, 2018). The IOM further recommended that 90% of clinical decisions be evidence-based by 2020 (IOM, 2010). Despite this recommendation, a persistent gap remains between what care providers do and what care providers should do based on the best available evidence (IOM, 2010; Melnyk et al., 2018), yet barriers to EBP implementation persist.

■ BARRIERS AND FACILITATORS

Regardless of profession or country of practice, much has been written over the past 20-plus years about the barriers and facilitators to implementing EBP. Data relating to EBP barriers and facilitators were found in the literature even before the first of the IOMs sentinel reports and extend through today. Primarily, lack of general EBP knowledge and skills (e.g., searching and critically appraising the literature) lead the list of barriers and overcoming these barriers is believed to be the most effective way to facilitate an EBP environment (Al-Jamei et al., 2019; Alqahtani et al., 2020; Baig et al., 2016; Bhor et al., 2019; Bianchi et al., 2018; Garcia et al., 2019; Hallum-Montes et al., 2016; Haynes & Haines, 1998; Hong et al., 2019; Labrague et al., 2019; Newman et al., 1998; Nolan et al., 1998; Oliver & Lang, 2018; Renolen et al., 2020; Rojjanasrirat & Rice, 2017; Rossi et al., 2020; Shayan et al., 2019; Skela-Savič et al., 2017; Youssef et al., 2018). Other barriers include difficulty with time constraints, limited support from organizations (Connor et al., 2016; Melnyk et al., 2012), and perhaps the most disheartening of all, resistance from colleagues (Melnyk et al., 2012).

However, despite the barriers, when nurses specifically are surveyed about their beliefs and attitudes toward EBP, they continue to indicate that they value EBP and that critical appraisal of the literature is essential to translate current knowledge into practice to produce positive patient outcomes (Alqahtani et al., 2020; Azmoude et al., 2018; Bhor et al., 2019; Li et al., 2019; Stokke et al., 2014; van Der Goot et al., 2018).

■ EVIDENCE-BASED PRACTICE COMPETENCE AND COMPETENCIES FOR EDUCATION AND PRACTICE

Core practice needs were identified in 2001, indicating that healthcare should be safe, effective, patient-centered, timely, efficient, and equitable. Subsequently, in 2003, five core competencies were recommended by the IOM for the healthcare education curriculum with a focus on EBP. Today, as the latest edition of this text is prepared for publication, EBP, and the need for an effective EBP curriculum in healthcare education, still exist. Data are clear and compelling that healthcare education must produce competent practitioners to meet the needs of EBP (IOM, 2010, 2015a; Melnyk et al. 2018; QSEN Institute, 2012; Stevens, 2009). Following the implementation of the 2010 legislation of the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act and the Affordable Care Act, nursing is at the forefront of leading this change in both education and practice. *The Future of Nursing: Leading Change, Advancing Health* report from the IOM (2010), and the *Quality and Safety Education for Nurses* (QSEN) initiative from the University of North Carolina and the American Association of Colleges of Nursing (AACN, 2012), are two examples of exciting initiatives available to advise and guide nursing on leading change in education and on EBP (IOM, 2010, 2015a; QSEN Institute, 2012).

■ COMPETENCY/COMPETENCE DEFINITIONS

Most might agree that the general definition of competency or competence is the ability or capability to accomplish something. Merriam-Webster defines competence as “. . . possession of sufficient knowledge or skill . . .” and competency “. . . as a specific area of competence . . .” (Merriam-Webster, 2020). More specifically, for our profession, the American Nurses

Association (ANA, 2014, p. 64) define competency as “an expected and measurable level of nursing performance that integrates knowledge, skills, abilities, and judgment, based on established scientific knowledge and expectations for nursing practice.” Moreover, in the past two decades, multiple authors, from various disciplines, have published similar definitions of competence or competencies when teaching or evaluating EBP (Claus et al., 2020; Kim et al., 2015; Jin & Yi, 2019; Lee & Seomun, 2016; Melnyk, 2016, 2017; Odhwani et al., 2019; Saunders & Vehvilainen-Julkunen, 2018; Stevens, 2005, 2009; Stiffler & Cullen, 2010; Ruzafa-Martinez et al., 2013).

■ EXISTING EBP COMPETENCIES

While *The Future of Nursing* report (IOM, 2010, 2015a) plotted a course to position nurses for advanced practice, the QSEN competencies provide specific knowledge, skills, and attitudes that are quite similar to, and no doubt developed from, the original five core competencies proposed by the IOM in 2003 to ensure quality in patient care. Comparisons of the core competencies recommended by the IOM in 2003 and the 2012 QSEN competency categories are displayed in Table 1.1. The graduate-level QSEN competencies for EBP are listed in Table 1.2.

Of note, *The Baccalaureate Essentials for Professional Nursing Practice* (AACN, 2008), *The Essentials of Master’s Education in Nursing* (AACN, 2011), and *The Essentials of Doctoral Education for Advanced Nursing Practice* (AACN, 2006, 2015) were also developed using data and recommendations from the IOM (2003) report; however, all the AACN Essentials are currently in the process of revision; therefore, this chapter will briefly discuss some of the proposed conceptual, forward-focused changes to the AACN Essentials related to competencies and their role in evidence-based nursing education (AACN, 2019).

■ ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE-BASED PRACTICE COMPETENCIES FOR EDUCATION AND PRACTICE

Competency-based education, as preparation for practice, is emerging within the health professions to address training deficits (Claus et al., 2020; Englander et al., 2013; Jin & Yi, 2019;

TABLE 1.1 Comparisons of the Core Competencies Proposed by the Institute of Medicine (IOM) in 2003 and the 2012 Quality and Safety Education for Nurses (QSEN) Competency Categories

IOM (2003)	QSEN: SKILL, KNOWLEDGE, AND ATTITUDE (2012)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Patient-centered care 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Patient-centered care
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Interdisciplinary skills 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Teamwork and collaboration
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Quality improvement skills 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Quality improvement
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Information technology 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Informatics
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Evidence-based practice 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Evidence-based practice
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Safety

TABLE 1.2 Graduate-Level Quality and Safety Education for Nurses (QSEN) Competencies for Evidence-Based Practice (EBP)

KNOWLEDGE	SKILLS	ATTITUDES
Demonstrate knowledge of health research methods and processes	Use health research methods and processes, alone or in partnership with scientists, to generate new knowledge for practice	Appreciate the strengths and weaknesses of scientific bases for practice
Describe evidence-based practice to include the components of research evidence, clinical expertise, and patient/family/community values	Role model clinical decision-making based on evidence, clinical expertise, and patient/family/community preferences	Value all components of evidence-based practice
Identify efficient and effective search strategies to locate reliable sources of evidence	Employ efficient and effective search strategies to answer focused clinical or health system practices	Value development of search skills for locating evidence for best practice
Identify principles that comprise the critical appraisal of research evidence	Critically appraise original research and evidence summaries related to the area of practice	Value knowing the evidence base for one's practice specialty area
Summarize current evidence regarding major diagnostic and treatment actions within the practice specialty and healthcare delivery system	Exhibit contemporary knowledge of best evidence related to practice and healthcare systems	Value cutting-edge knowledge of the current practice
Determine evidence gaps within the practice specialty and healthcare delivery system	Promote a research agenda for evidence that is needed in the practice specialty and healthcare system	Value working in an interactive manner with the institutional review board
Identify strategies to address gaps in evidence-based guidelines	Use quality improvement methods to address gaps in evidence-based guidelines	Appreciate the gaps in evidence related to practice
Develop knowledge that can lead the translation of research into evidence-based practice	Build consensus among key stakeholders through the use of change theory to create evidence-based care	Champion the changes required that support evidence-based practice
Analyze how the strength of available evidence influences care (assessment, diagnosis, treatment, and evaluation)	Implement care practices based on strength of available evidence	Appreciate the strength of evidence on provision of care
Evaluate organizational cultures and structures that promote evidence-based practice	Participate in designing organizational systems that support evidence-based practice	Appreciate that organizational systems can significantly influence nursing's efforts in evidence-based practice
Understand the need to define critical questions related to practice and healthcare system delivery	Use coaching skills to engage nurses in evidence-based practice and research	Appreciate that all nurses can participate in creating evidence-based practice

Source: From Cronenwett, L., Sherwood, G., Pohl, J., Barnsteiner, J., Moore, S., Sullivan, D. T., Ward, D., & Warren, J. (2009). Quality and safety education for advanced nursing practice. *Nursing Outlook*, 57(6), 338–348. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.outlook.2009.07.009>; data were retrieved from <http://www.qsen.org>.